

BROOKLYN NEWS.

IN BROOKLYN PLAYHOUSES.

COL. SINN PAYS INGERSOLL.

NO DIAMONDS FOR HIS WIFE.

"Innocent as a Lamb" at the Park Theatre.

"The Fencing Master" at the Columbia—Other Plays.

Roland Reed in his latest play, "Innocent as a Lamb," attracted a good-sized audience to the Park Theatre, in Brooklyn, last night. In the character of Tobias Pittiford, an old stock-broker, who tells his wife he is going to Boston, and then steals away to Patterson, N. J., to play whilst Mr. Reed did excellent work. Capable support was rendered by H. Ross Davies, Julian Reed, Sheridan Tupper, and Miss Flanders Bush.

COLUMBUS THEATRE.

"The Fencing Master," as it was presented by J. M. Hill's company at the Columbus Theatre last night, provoked much favorable comment. The title role was sung by Miss Marie Tempest, who was in excellent voice, and was repeatedly encored. William Broderick as "Toriard," Charles Hart as "Baldwin," Sir Michael, Jerome Spies, Grace Golden and Hubert Willis contributed to the artistic success of the performance. The scene was very well done, the chorus excellent.

THE AMPHION.

Stuart Patson opened at the Amphion last night in Goldsmith's comedy, "She Stoops to Conquer." The same artistic performance of the play that has been seen at the Bowery and the Strand, was last night. Mr. Patson, as Tony Lumpkin, George Abbott as Hardeapple, W. H. Elwood as Young Marlowe, Mrs. Belcher as Kate Haradash, and the other members of the cast won much praise.

GRAND OPERA-HOUSE.

"The Silver King," a picturesque drama, which enjoyed a long run at Brooklyn's Theatre a decade ago, was well received at the Grand Opera House last night by a company headed by Carl Hinsin and a good company. Mr. Hinsin interpreted the title role with marked success, and was frequently encored. The play was assisted by Charles Easton, Seymour, Arthur Sprague, the Welsh children and others. The scenes were attractive.

BEDFORD AVENUE THEATRE.

"Starlight," a farce-comedy, full of bright music and lively dance, was given at the Bedford Avenue Theatre last night by a company headed by Vernon Jarman. The star displayed her versatility in good advantage, and was frequently called. Lillian Poole, who is known of considerable ability, also did good work.

GAYETY THEATRE.

The May Howard Burlesques held the bearing at the Gayety Theatre last night. The may included some songs and dance artists, and a large chorus of simply females.

HUBER & GEBHARDT'S CASINO.

At Huber & Gebhardt's Casino last night, Miss Minnie Schulte sang several new songs, and a few of her old favorites. Among those who were there were the Vallee sisters, in a tragic Keating and Ardell, musical comedians; Mand Harris, singer; King sisters, and others.

SHAKE UP ON THE TROLLEY.

One on the Kalb Avenue Line Demolishes a Milk Wagon.

Another accident occurred on the De Kalb avenue trolley railroad, in Brooklyn, this morning. To-day's accident, like many others that have happened on the road, was due to the reckless manner in which the cars run.

Car No. 129 was coming down the Forty-third street, and had just reached Raymond street, a milk wagon driven by Isaac Rushmore, of the Atlantic Avenue, was turning off the track. The car was moving so fast that the man did not make any attempt to stop, and the speed of the wagon, when it was too late to avoid a collision,

The wagon went over the track, and almost lifted it off the ground. It was overturned, and the horse began to prance about in the roadway.

The driver, who was shouting at the car violently, and several of the passengers were thrown forward, but nobody was injured. The driver of the wagon escaped with a few scratches, but his vehicle was badly smashed.

Charlie Schwabach, the well-known teacher of bicycle riding, mourns the loss of two valuable dogs, which were good swimmers under his care.

Flatbush Avenue trolley car. The dogs were known as Tammany, a Gordon setter worth \$200, and a bull terrier, Prince, valued at \$100. The dogs ran after the vehicle of the car and were crushed to a pulp.

DISCIPLINED HIS BOARDER.

A Landlord Who Answers Criticisms of the Hash with a Horsewhip.

George Hitler, a saloon-keeper, of 361 Kent avenue, Williamsburg, was held in the Lee Avenue court-to-day on a charge of assault.

In addition to his saloon Hitler runs a boarding-house, and among his boarders was John Derry. The two men had a quarrel one morning over the quality of the food provided for meals, and Hitler says that Derry threatened to kill him at the first opportunity.

Hitler called on his landlord yesterday to pay his board for the week, and Hitler, taking a large cowhide from the wall, remarked, "I'll give you a receipt in full." Then he pulled Derry with the man yelled for mercy.

Hitler's side of the story was that Derry entered the place very much under the influence of liquor, and that Hitler's act of clearing out the place, the boarder interfered and Derry grabbed him by the throat.

"Then he threatened to cut my heart out," said Hitler, "and I said, 'Well, I would do it to you if I could.' It is a very dangerous man and I can prove it."

As another party was ready for trial to-day Justice Goettling adjourned the case until Thursday of next week.

THEIR VICTIM IN A HOSPITAL.

John Griffin and Edward J. Kelduff Held Without Bail.

John Griffin, thirty-four years old, and Edward Kelduff, twenty-five years old, both of 47 Butler street, Brooklyn, were arrested in the First District Police Court yesterday on a charge of criminally assaulting Jane O'Hara.

The police say that Griffin and Kelduff were under the influence of liquor at the time of the attack, and that they were held without bail.

Big Collector Held for Theft.

John W. Bradley, a collector for John S. & Co., of 384 Fourth avenue, Brooklyn, was held for examination on April 14 in the First Street Police Court, Brooklyn, this morning, charged with having stolen \$10,000 worth of silk from Mr. Wentz, a 44-year-old merchant, an Englishman, who had failed to collect a sum due him.

Mr. D. C. Herkland's Bentley, a chauffeur of 863 Bergen street, Brooklyn, was arrested this morning charged with wounding his wife and striking her. Another surgeon said that the woman was in a delicate condition and that she might die if she did not get medical treatment.

Judge Osborne Allows Cora Tanner Attorney's Fees.

He Thought She Could Care for Herself and Refused Alimony.

Judge Osborne, of the City Court, Brooklyn, today, denied the application of Cora Tanner to compel Col. William E. Slim, of the Park Theatre, to pay her alimony, pending the trial of his action to have their marriage annulled, but ordered the veteran theatrical manager to pay her \$250 a month.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant was never legally divorced from her first husband, Col. E. Slim, of the Metropolitan Investment Company, at Elkhorn, Ia., in 1892.

Col. Slim says his husband is now married again, and is supporting himself.

It is admitted that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Judge Osborne held that the defendant had no right to claim alimony, and ordered him to pay \$250 a month.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of at least \$1,000.

Col. Slim bases his suit on the ground that the defendant has some \$2,000 on deposit, and is possessed of considerable personal property, but the law requires that he should have a sum of